Short Communication

Correspondence Mariano Esteban mesteban@cnb.csic.es

New vaccinia virus promoter as a potential candidate for future vaccines

Mauro Di Pilato,¹ Ernesto Mejías-Pérez,¹ Carmen Elena Gómez,¹ Beatriz Perdiguero,¹ Carlos Oscar S. Sorzano² and Mariano Esteban¹

¹Department of Molecular and Cellular Biology, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

²Biocomputing Unit, Centro Nacional de Biotecnología, Consejo Superior de Investigaciones Científicas (CSIC), Madrid, Spain

Here we describe the design and strength of a new synthetic late-early optimized (LEO) vaccinia virus (VACV) promoter used as a transcriptional regulator of GFP expression during modified vaccinia Ankara infection. In contrast to the described synthetic VACV promoter (pS), LEO induced significantly higher levels of GFP expression *in vitro* within the first hour after infection, which correlated with an enhancement in the GFP-specific CD8 T-cell response detected *in vivo*, demonstrating its potential use in future vaccines.

Received 17 July 2013 Accepted 20 September 2013

Vaccines against intracellular human pathogens such as human immunodeficiency virus (HIV), hepatitis C virus, malaria and leishmania are designed to induce efficient antigen-specific T-cell immune responses that, in some cases, correlate with protection (Gómez *et al.*, 2012b; Good & Doolan, 2010; Sánchez-Sampedro *et al.*, 2012; Vijayan *et al.*, 2012; Yusim *et al.*, 2013). One of the most popular vectors selected to trigger such responses are the poxviruses, and, in particular, highly attenuated vaccinia virus (VACV) strains such as modified vaccinia Ankara (MVA), NYVAC and ALVAC have been used successfully against emergent infectious diseases and cancer in humans (Gómez *et al.*, 2012a).

It is known that the timing of expression of heterologous antigens in the VACV system affects the capacity to induce antigen-specific T-cell immune responses (Baur et al., 2010) since the efficiency with which an antigen is processed and presented on the surface of infected cells influences its recognition (Moutaftsi et al., 2009). Considering that immunodominance is defined as the phenomenon whereby only a small fraction of all the possible epitopes from a particular pathogen elicits an immune response in an infected individual (Pasquetto et al., 2005), it is possible to modulate such immunodominance hierarchy, changing the timing and the quantity of intracellular antigen production (Wilson & Hunter, 2008). In fact, it has been described that, in VACV, 90 % of the most recognized antigens by CD8 T-cells were ranked in the top 50% in terms of mRNA expression (Sette et al., 2009), and there is a correlation between viral gene expression and immunodominance hierarchy after a second immunization due to a

One supplementary table is available with the online version of this paper.

mechanism of cross-competition between T-cells specific for early and late viral epitopes (Kastenmuller *et al.*, 2007).

Recently, after a deep analysis of the VACV transcriptome, two groups have defined two categories of early genes based on their temporal expression (Assarsson et al., 2008; Yang et al., 2010). Assarsson et al. (2008), using a genome tiling array approach, differentiated the immediate-early genes from the early genes, whereas Yang et al. (2010), using deep RNA sequence analysis, differentiated the E1.1 genes from E1.2 genes as subclusters of early genes and also defined a 15 nt consensus sequence (AAAANTGAAAA-NNNA) that corresponds to the core of early genes promoter. Both authors underline that there is a difference in the time of expression between the two early classes of genes, but Yang et al. (2010) avoided the terminology of immediate-early and early genes because both subclusters (E1.1 and E1.2) were transcribed in the presence of the protein synthesis inhibitor cycloheximide, while the transcription of early genes depends on the synthesis of one or a few immediate-early proteins (Honess & Roizman, 1975; Ross & Guarino, 1997; Salser et al., 1970).

The core promoter of E1.1 genes more closely corresponds to the consensus sequence than those of E1.2 genes, suggesting that this difference could explain the readiness of E1.1 genes to be recognized by the transcription machinery before recognition of E1.2 genes (Yang *et al.*, 2010).

In an effort to select an optimized virus promoter for antigen expression, in this study we selected the first temporal early class of genes (immediate-early and E1.1) described by both groups (Table S1, available in JGV Online), comprising 45 genes in total, and performed a sequence alignment using a region of 200 nt upstream of the translation initiation site in order to define the

(a)					(b)		
Name	Start	<i>P</i> value	Sites		Motif location		
K1L	159	2.55e-07 СААААТТАТА	AAAAATGAAAAAATA TA	ACACTAATT			
L2R	162	4.63e-07 ACGGATATGC	ААААСТGААААТАТА Т	IGATAATAT			
E4L	153	2.38e-06 ATATCAACAT	AATAATGAAAAAATA TZ	AAATGAACA			
E3L	147	2.38e-06 GATGAATAAA	AAAAATGATAAAATA AA	ATTAGTTTT			
D9R	163	2.66e-06 GCGGCATAGT	AAAAATGAAATGATA AC	CTGTTTAAA			
B15R	140	4.53e-06 TTTTTTTTAA	AAAATAGAAAAAACA TO	GTGGTATTA			
B19R	159	6.85e-06 TGTGTGTAAA	AAAACTGATATTATA TZ	AAATATTTT			
A47L	144	7.96e-06 TGCGTATAAT	ААААСТGААААТААА Т	ATATAGGTC			
H5R	95	7.96e-06 TCTATACTTA	AAAAATGAAAATAAA TA	ACAAAGGTT			
O1L	165	7.96e-06 ААААТАТААА	AATAATGAAAAAACA AI	TACTTAATC			
G5R	77	9.10e-06 ATCCTTTAAA	AAAAATGATAAGATA TO	CAACATGGA			
A37R	154	9.89e-06 TCCTTTTTAT	AAAATTGAAGTAATA TI	TTAGTATTA			
A35R	163	1.07e-05 AGTGACAACA	AAAAATGAATTAATA AT	TAAGTCGTT			
VACWR018	28	1.25e-05 CGTTCCTATA	AAAATAGAAACTATA AT	TCATATAAT			
A46R	161	1.25e-05 TATTTCTTAC	ATAAATGAAAAGATA AT	TACATTTTC			
B13R	101	1.42e-05 CTGTTTATAC	AAGATTGAAATTATA TT	TCTTTTTTT			
B2R	147	1.57e-05 TTTTGTCGAT	AAAAATTAAAAATA AC	CTTAATTTA			
B8R	146	1.57e-05 ATGATTTTTA	AAAATTTAAAATATA TI	TATCACTTC			
F14L	155	1.93e-05 ATATTGATAC	ATAATTGAAAATCTA CO	CAACTTAAA			
M2L	112	1.93e-05 ACTAATTGGT	AAAATTGATAGAATA AT	TATGTGTA			
C23L/B29R	100	2.13e-05 ATTTCTCGTA	AAAGTAGAAAATATA TI	ICTAATTTA			
I3L	147	2.13e-05 TCAAATGAGA	TAAAGTGAAAATATA TA	ATCATTATA			
C6L	121	3.11e-05 CTAAATATTA	ATTAATGAAATAATA AC	CAATTATTA		=	
F11L	158	3.11e-05 TAAAATTATA	AAAAGTGAAAAACAA TA	ATTATTTT			
A51R	166	3.78e-05 ATACAAACTG	AAAATTAAAATAACA CI	TATTTAGTT			
VACWR161	R 67	4.15e-05 ATTGTACGGT	AGAATTGATAATATA AA	ATATGAGCA			
F15L	134	6.51e-05 CGAGATGAAC	AAAATGGATATTATA GA	CAAAAAAT			
A8R	163	8.43e-05 TATCGTGGGG	TAAAGTGAAAATCTA CI	TACCGATGA			
K3L	148	1.00e-04 TCTCTTGAAT	AAAATAGATATAAAA AC	CATAATTTT			
B12R	165	13.8e-04 TAATCGATAC	AAAACATAAAAAACA AC	CTCGTTATT			
K7R	131	1.86e-04 TGTTTTATTG	ATAATTGTAAAAACA TA	ACAATTAAA			
A44L	163	1.86e-04 ATTATTTAGT	AAAATAGAATAAGTA GI	TCTGATATT			
A36R	148	1.86e-04 TTGTAAAGTA	AATAATAAAACAATA AA	ATATTGAAC			
C11R	119	2.15e-04 ATTAGTTTAT	ATTACTGAATTAATA AT	TATAAAATT		-	
K6L	139	2.31e-04 TTATCAATAA	ААСАТАААААТААТА ТС	GATCATCAA			
N2L	166	2.31e-04 TTAACAAAAT	AACATAAAAATAATA TA	ATTTTTTTA			
K5L	179	2.66e-04 TGGAAGAAGT	AATGGTGAAAAAATG AT	IGATIG			_
				_0	00 -150 -100	-50	
				-2	100 -100	00	0
(c)	29	nt 15 nt	38 nt		5'		
	-			·····	TTTTATTTTTTTTTTGGAATAT	AAATATCCG	GT
LEO	L	ate Early	Spacer		AAAATTGAAAAAATATACACTAA	TTAGCGTCT	CG
1	5 nt	29 nt	11 nt		5'		
nS 🔽	arly		Spacer		AAAATTGAAA <u>TTTTA</u> TTTTTTT	TTTTGGAATA	ATA
	any		opacer		AATAGCTAGCTCGAG		
					3′		

Fig. 1. Design of the synthetic LEO promoter. (a) Alignment of the core promoter sequence of 37 selected early genes using the MEME program. For the analysis, we used the 200 nt upstream of the start codons of the genes. The distribution of motif attributed was zero or unity for the sequence. The maximum width of the motif selected for the analysis was 20 nt. The *P* value

of a site was computed from the match score of the site with the position-specific score matrix for the motif. Only sequences with P<0.001 are represented. (b) Alignment of all 37 sequences of 200 nt. The height of the motif 'block' is proportional to – log (P value) and the position in the panel corresponds to the motif location. The red box emphasizes the region between –70 and –20 nt upstream of the start codons of the genes. (c) Schematic representation and sequence of LEO and pS promoters. The double solid line represents the nucleotides shared by early and late fractions.

appropriate consensus sequence of the promoter of these genes and to determine the spacer between the core sequence and the start codon of the genes. Using the motif discovery program Multiple Em for Motif Elicitation (MEME), we observed that 82 % of the studied genes (37/ 45) contained the core of a promoter that closely corresponded to a newly defined consensus sequence, AAAANT-GAAAAAATA (Fig. 1a), and in 73 % of them (27/37) the first nucleotide of the core was between positions -70 and -35 and the last nucleotide of the core was between positions -55 and -20 (Fig. 1a, b).

With all these data, we designed a new synthetic late-early optimized (LEO) VACV promoter that contained the same late part present in the widely used synthetic VACV pS promoter (Chakrabarti et al., 1997) prior to the newly defined early consensus sequence, with a T in position 5, and followed by a spacer of 38 nt (Fig. 1c). The purpose of using this LEO promoter in the transcriptional control of a heterologous antigen is to increase the immediate expression of such an antigen during VACV infection. With this aim, we decided to compare the strength of the new LEO promoter with the viral synthetic early/late pS promoter (Chakrabarti et al., 1997), since pS has been used successfully for the expression of high levels of heterologous antigens in vaccine candidates based on poxviruses (Gómez et al., 2007a) and has been described previously as a better promoter than p7.5 and pC11R, and similar to pB8R, pA44L and pF11L in terms of gene expression levels during the first 8 h of infection (Orubu et al., 2012). The pS promoter contains a different early motif that shares 5 nt with the following late part and has a spacer of 11 nt (Fig. 1c).

The new LEO promoter sequence was synthesized by GENEART GmbH (Regensburg) and inserted into the VACV insertional plasmid vector pLZAW1, which contains the right thymidine kinase (TK) flanking arm, a multicloning site, a T5NT sequence and the β -galactosidase reporter gene between two repetitions of the left TK flanking arm (Gómez et al., 2007a, b). GFP expression was used as a readout in order to evaluate the promoter strength. For this purpose, the GFP gene was inserted into the pLZAW1 vector under the transcriptional control of the LEO (pLZAW1-LEO) or pS (pLZAW1-pS) promoter. These transfer vectors were used to generate the recombinant viruses MVA-LEO-GFP and MVA-PS-GFP following standard procedures described previously (Gómez et al., 2007b). The purity of the recombinant viruses was assessed by PCR amplification of the insert in the TK locus. The viruses were grown in primary chicken embryo fibroblasts, purified through two 36 % (w/v) sucrose cushions and titrated by immunostaining assay as described previously (Ramírez et al., 2000).

To define the temporal expression of GFP under the control of the two different promoters, non-permissive HeLa cells were infected at an m.o.i. of 5 with MVA–LEO–GFP or MVA–PS–GFP recombinant viruses. In the first 4 h of infection, cells infected with MVA–LEO–GFP expressed higher levels of GFP compared with cells infected with MVA–PS–GFP as determined by flow cytometry (Fig. 2a) and measured as mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) (Fig. 2b). At 8 h post-infection, no differences in the MFI between both viruses were observed, underlining that the strength of the late part of both promoters equals the fluorescence levels. These results were confirmed in a permissive chicken DF-1 cell line (data not shown).

To check if higher levels of antigen expression at early times post-infection correlated with an enhancement of the antigen-specific T-cell immune responses, we performed an immunization protocol using MVA-LEO-GFP and MVA-PS–GFP. BALB/c mice (n=8 per group) were injected by the intraperitoneal route with two doses of 1×10^7 p.f.u. of each virus with an interval of 1 week between the two inoculations. As a negative control, we used the parental virus MVA-WT. At 11 and 53 days after the last immunization, mice were sacrificed and spleens were processed for intracellular cytokine staining assay to study CD8 T-cell primary and memory immune responses, respectively. The splenocytes were stimulated for 6 h with 10 μ g ml⁻¹ of the GFP peptide HYLSTQSAL (GFP₂₀₀₋₂₀₈) (Centro Nacional de Biotecnología Proteomics Facility, Spain), which is able to induce a specific CD8 T-cell response, as reported previously (Gambotto et al., 2000). The functional profile of the vaccine-induced T-cell response was determined by measuring the intracellular expression of the cytokines IFN-y, IL-2 and TNF- α , as well as the exposure of lysosomal-associated membrane protein-1 (LAMP-1 or CD107a) on the surface of activated antigen-specific CD8 T-cells as a surrogate marker for induction of killing.

The magnitude of the CD8 T-cell response was defined as the number of CD8 T-cells expressing IL-2 and/or IFN- γ and/or CD107a and/or TNF- α , and the polyfunctionality as the capacity of CD8 T-cells to express more than one of these four activation markers. In primary and memory immune responses, the magnitude and polyfunctionality of the vaccine-induced GFP-specific CD8 T-cell immune response were significantly higher in mice infected with MVA–LEO– GFP than in those infected with MVA–PS–GFP (Fig. 3). In primary immune responses, the absolute frequencies of quadruple, triple, double and single GFP-specific CD8 T-cell populations were significantly higher in mice infected with MVA–LEO–GFP compared with MVA–PS–GFP-infected mice (Fig. 3c), whereas in memory immune responses only



Fig. 2. GFP expression levels driven by LEO or pS promoters. (a) Expression of GFP in HeLa cells infected with MVA-LEO-GFP (LEO) or MVA-PS-GFP (pS) viruses at an m.o.i. of 5 at 1, 2, 4 and 8 h post-inoculation. (b) Mean fluorescence intensity (MFI) value of GFP expression compared with mock cells. The values represent the mean of three different experiments. **P<0.01.

the quadruple CD8 T-cell subset was increased significantly (Fig. 3d). These results demonstrated that the enhanced immediate expression of the heterologous GFP antigen increased the quality of the antigen-specific T-cell response.

The LEO promoter represents the first example of a VACV synthetic promoter designed after bioinformatics analysis

that shows an enhanced antigen expression within the first hour after infection and, importantly, is able to generate a significant increase in the antigen-specific CD8 T-cell immune response compared with that of the commonly used pS. This novel promoter represents an excellent prototype for future use to potentiate the expression of



Fig. 3. Vaccine-induced GFP-specific CD8 T-cell immune responses in mice infected with MVA–PS–GFP (pS) or MVA–LEO– GFP (LEO) viruses. (a, b) Magnitude of the CD8 positive T-cell primary (a) and memory (b) immune responses of pooled splenocytes. The total value represents the sum of the percentages of CD8-positive T-cells secreting IL-2 and/or IFN- γ and/or CD107a and/or TNF- α . (c, d) Functional profile of primary (c) and memory (d) GFP-specific CD8 T-cells. The combinations of the responses are shown on the *x*-axis and the percentages of the functionally distinct populations are shown on the *y*-axis. The combinations with percentages <0.1 are not shown. Responses are grouped and colour coded on the basis of the number of functions. The non-specific responses obtained in mice infected with the control MVA–WT were subtracted in the total magnitude and in all combinations of CD8 response. The bars of the columns represent the confidence intervals of one experiment repeated twice. The statistical analysis used has been reported previously (Garcia-Arriaza *et al.*, 2010). ****P*<0.001, ***P*<0.01, **P*<0.05.

antigens from different pathogens and to generate safe VACV recombinant-based vaccines able to induce potent immune responses that prevent development of the disease.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Victoria Jiménez for tissue culture and virus growth. The investigation was supported by Spanish grants SAF-2008-02036 and Red de Sida RD12/0017/0038.

References

Assarsson, E., Greenbaum, J. A., Sundström, M., Schaffer, L., Hammond, J. A., Pasquetto, V., Oseroff, C., Hendrickson, R. C., Lefkowitz, E. J. & other authors (2008). Kinetic analysis of a complete poxvirus transcriptome reveals an immediate-early class of genes. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **105**, 2140–2145.

Baur, K., Brinkmann, K., Schweneker, M., Pätzold, J., Meisinger-Henschel, C., Hermann, J., Steigerwald, R., Chaplin, P., Suter, M. & Hausmann, J. (2010). Immediate-early expression of a recombinant antigen by modified vaccinia virus Ankara breaks the immunodominance of strong vector-specific B8R antigen in acute and memory CD8 T-cell responses. *J Virol* **84**, 8743–8752.

Chakrabarti, S., Sisler, J. R. & Moss, B. (1997). Compact, synthetic, vaccinia virus early/late promoter for protein expression. *Biotechniques* 23, 1094–1097.

Gambotto, A., Dworacki, G., Cicinnati, V., Kenniston, T., Steitz, J., Tüting, T., Robbins, P. D. & DeLeo, A. B. (2000). Immunogenicity of enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) in BALB/c mice: identification of an H2-Kd-restricted CTL epitope. *Gene Ther* 7, 2036–2040.

Garcia-Arriaza, J., Nájera, J. L., Gómez, C. E., Sorzano, C. O. & Esteban, M. (2010). Immunogenic profiling in mice of a HIV/AIDS vaccine candidate (MVA-B) expressing four HIV-1 antigens and potentiation by specific gene deletions. *PLoS ONE* 5, e12395.

Gómez, C. E., Nájera, J. L., Jiménez, E. P., Jiménez, V., Wagner, R., Graf, M., Frachette, M. J., Liljeström, P., Pantaleo, G. & Esteban, M. (2007a). Head-to-head comparison on the immunogenicity of two HIV/AIDS vaccine candidates based on the attenuated poxvirus strains MVA and NYVAC co-expressing in a single locus the HIV-1BX08 gp120 and HIV-1(IIIB) Gag-Pol-Nef proteins of clade B. *Vaccine* 25, 2863–2885.

Gómez, C. E., Nájera, J. L., Jiménez, V., Bieler, K., Wild, J., Kostic, L., Heidari, S., Chen, M., Frachette, M. J. & other authors (2007b). Generation and immunogenicity of novel HIV/AIDS vaccine candidates targeting HIV-1 Env/Gag-Pol-Nef antigens of clade C. *Vaccine* 25, 1969–1992.

Gómez, C. E., Perdiguero, B., Garcia-Arriaza, J. & Esteban, M. (2012a). Poxvirus vectors as HIV/AIDS vaccines in humans. *Hum Vaccin Immunother* 8, 1192–1207.

Gómez, C. E., Perdiguero, B., Jiménez, V., Filali-Mouhim, A., Ghneim, K., Haddad, E. K., Quakkelaar, E. D., Delaloye, J., Harari, A. & other authors (2012b). Systems analysis of MVA-C induced immune response reveals its significance as a vaccine candidate against HIV/AIDS of clade C. *PLoS ONE* 7, e35485.

Good, M. F. & Doolan, D. L. (2010). Malaria vaccine design: immunological considerations. *Immunity* 33, 555–566.

Honess, R. W. & Roizman, B. (1975). Regulation of herpesvirus macromolecular synthesis: sequential transition of polypeptide synthesis requires functional viral polypeptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **72**, 1276–1280.

Kastenmuller, W., Gasteiger, G., Gronau, J. H., Baier, R., Ljapoci, R., Busch, D. H. & Drexler, I. (2007). Cross-competition of CD8⁺ T cells shapes the immunodominance hierarchy during boost vaccination. *J Exp Med* **204**, 2187–2198.

Moutaftsi, M., Salek-Ardakani, S., Croft, M., Peters, B., Sidney, J., Grey, H. & Sette, A. (2009). Correlates of protection efficacy induced by vaccinia virus-specific CD8⁺ T-cell epitopes in the murine intranasal challenge model. *Eur J Immunol* **39**, 717–722.

Orubu, T., Alharbi, N. K., Lambe, T., Gilbert, S. C. & Cottingham, M. G. (2012). Expression and cellular immunogenicity of a transgenic antigen driven by endogenous poxviral early promoters at their authentic loci in MVA. *PLoS ONE* 7, e40167.

Pasquetto, V., Bui, H. H., Giannino, R., Banh, C., Mirza, F., Sidney, J., Oseroff, C., Tscharke, D. C., Irvine, K. & other authors (2005). HLA-A*0201, HLA-A*1101, and HLA-B*0702 transgenic mice recognize numerous poxvirus determinants from a wide variety of viral gene products. *J Immunol* **175**, 5504–5515.

Ramirez, J. C., Gherardi, M. M. & Esteban, M. (2000). Biology of attenuated modified vaccinia virus Ankara recombinant vector in mice: virus fate and activation of B- and T-cell immune responses in comparison with the Western Reserve strain and advantages as a vaccine. *J Virol* **74**, 923–933.

Ross, L. & Guarino, L. A. (1997). Cycloheximide inhibition of delayed early gene expression in baculovirus-infected cells. *Virology* **232**, 105–113.

Salser, W., Bolle, A. & Epstein, R. (1970). Transcription during bacteriophage T4 development: a demonstration that distinct subclasses of the "early" RNA appear at different times and that some are "turned off" at late times. *J Mol Biol* **49**, 271–295.

Sánchez-Sampedro, L., Gómez, C. E., Mejías-Pérez, E., Sorzano, C. O. S. & Esteban, M. (2012). High quality long-term CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ effector memory populations stimulated by DNA-LACK/ MVA-LACK regimen in *Leishmania* major BALB/c model of infection. *PLoS ONE* 7, e38859.

Sette, A., Grey, H., Oseroff, C., Peters, B., Moutaftsi, M., Crotty, S., Assarsson, E., Greenbaum, J., Kim, Y. & other authors (2009). Definition of epitopes and antigens recognized by vaccinia specific immune responses: their conservation in variola virus sequences, and use as a model system to study complex pathogens. *Vaccine* 27 (Suppl 6), G21–G26.

Vijayan, A., Gómez, C. E., Espinosa, D. A., Goodman, A. G., Sanchez-Sampedro, L., Sorzano, C. O., Zavala, F. & Esteban, M. (2012). Adjuvant-like effect of vaccinia virus 14K protein: a case study with malaria vaccine based on the circumsporozoite protein. *J Immunol* **188**, 6407–6417.

Wilson, E. H. & Hunter, C. A. (2008). Immunodominance and recognition of intracellular pathogens. J Infect Dis 198, 1579–1581.

Yang, Z., Bruno, D. P., Martens, C. A., Porcella, S. F. & Moss, B. (2010). Simultaneous high-resolution analysis of vaccinia virus and host cell transcriptomes by deep RNA sequencing. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107, 11513–11518.

Yusim, K., Dilan, R., Borducchi, E., Stanley, K., Giorgi, E., Fischer, W., Theiler, J., Marcotrigiano, J., Korber, B. & Barouch, D. H. (2013). Hepatitis C genotype 1 mosaic vaccines are immunogenic in mice and induce stronger T-cell responses than natural strains. *Clin Vaccine Immunol* 20, 302–305.